# FPO Market Linkage — Building Buyer Relationships That Last

Before designing market linkage approaches, understanding why institutional buyers and processors often resist direct FPO engagement prevents wasted effort.

JABASU KNOWLEDGE COMMONS · JABASU.ORG

B practice-note Agriculture & Markets

Published: April 2026 · Last reviewed: April 2026

**Volume uncertainty.** A food processor who needs 500 metric tonnes of paddy per month cannot risk relying on an FPO that might deliver 300 in a good season and 150 in a poor one. Consistent volume is the first requirement of institutional buyers. FPOs with small membership bases, limited working capital, or inadequate storage cannot guarantee consistent supply.

**Quality inconsistency.** A buyer who receives mixed-quality produce from an FPO's member farmers — different moisture content, different grades, mixed varieties — cannot serve their own downstream customers. Quality standardisation at the FPO level, which requires grading, cleaning, and sometimes processing, is the prerequisite for premium buyers.

**Transaction cost.** A large buyer who procures from hundreds of individual small FPOs faces high transaction costs: negotiating each purchase, verifying documentation, managing multiple payment channels. Buyers prefer to work with fewer, larger, more reliable partners. This is why FPO federations — district-level or regional aggregations of multiple FPOs selling collectively — are more attractive to large buyers than individual FPOs.

**Payment cycle mismatch.** FPOs need to pay farmers quickly — ideally at procurement. Large institutional buyers typically pay on 30–60 day credit cycles. The FPO needs working capital to bridge this gap, which many lack. Without it, even a willing buyer relationship cannot be operationalised.

**Traceability requirements.** Export-oriented buyers and branded food companies increasingly require traceability — documentation linking the final product to specific farms and farming practices. This requires farmer-level record-keeping that many early-stage FPOs haven't established.

Understanding these buyer hesitations tells you what the FPO needs to have in place before approaching each buyer type.

---

# The Four Buyer Pathways

## Pathway 1: Government procurement — NAFED, TDCCOL, and MSP channels

### The accessible starting point for most tribal FPOs

Government procurement at Minimum Support Price is the most accessible first market for newly operational FPOs in tribal areas, particularly for millets, pulses, and NTFP. The buyer is reliable, the price is transparent, and the quality requirements — while real — are less demanding than private sector buyers.

**TDCCOL (Tribal Development Cooperative Corporation of Odisha):** The primary procurement agency for tribal producers in Odisha. Procures millets, mahua, kendu leaves, tamarind, and other NTFP at MSP. The FPO must register as a seller with the relevant TDCCOL district office and establish the documentation trail (member farmer names, produce quantity, quality certification) required for each procurement.

**NAFED (National Agricultural Cooperative Marketing Federation):** Procures pulses, oilseeds, and cereals at MSP during price support scheme activations. FPOs registered as sellers under the FCI (Food Corporation of India) system or the state procurement agency can sell to NAFED when MSP procurement is active in their district.

**State hostel procurement:** The Odisha Millet Mission's hostel procurement model — covered in the Millet Value Chains Practice Note — is directly replicable for any FPO producing millets, pulses, or organic food items. Government welfare hostels (tribal residential schools, Ashram schools, government hostels) purchase food on long-term supply contracts. The buyer is guaranteed, the payment cycle is predictable (though sometimes delayed — see below), and the volume is consistent.

**How to access hostel procurement:** The FPO CEO approaches the District Social Welfare Officer (DSWO) with a product sample, a price quote, and a supply capacity statement. Where the OMM framework is active, approach the OSDA (Odisha Skill Development Authority) or the Mission Director for millets. Where it isn't, the DSWO is the entry point. A formal supply MOU, reviewed and signed by the DSWO, is the operational document.

**The payment delay problem with government procurement:** Government institutional buyers pay in 30–60 day cycles, which is manageable with working capital. But in practice, payment delays from government hostels can extend to 90–120 days when procurement offices are slow to process vouchers. FPOs without the working capital buffer to sustain this delay will be unable to continue supplying even after a buyer relationship is established. This is the primary reason to secure working capital credit (see FPO Credit Practice Note) before scaling up government procurement.

## Pathway 2: Corporate institutional buyers — FMCG, processors, restaurant chains

### The premium income pathway, requiring quality and volume

Private sector buyers — food processing companies, FMCG brands, restaurant supply chains, organic food companies — pay above MSP prices for consistently good quality. They are also more demanding, more commercially oriented, and less forgiving of supply failures than government buyers.

**What private buyers require:**

- Consistent quality across deliveries — same grade, same moisture content, same variety
- Food safety certification — FSSAI registration at minimum; HACCP or organic certification for premium buyers
- Volume consistency — guaranteed minimum supply per delivery cycle
- Traceability documentation — FIR and FPO-level records linking produce to member farms
- Invoice and GST compliance

Most early-stage tribal FPOs in Odisha cannot meet all of these requirements in their first season of operation. The pathway: start with government procurement to build a track record; use that track record to develop one private buyer relationship in year two; expand private buyer relationships from year three onwards.

**Approach mechanism:** Direct approaches to corporate procurement teams are usually ineffective for small FPOs — corporate procurement teams deal with large suppliers. The effective pathways are:

- **AgriMarket connect events:** SFAC, NABARD, and state governments organise buyer-seller meets specifically for FPOs. Participation in these events is how most FPO-private buyer relationships start.
- **Value chain intermediaries:** Specialised FPO aggregators (Sahyadri Farms in Maharashtra is the benchmark; Indiagrow and similar organisations in other states) who aggregate across multiple FPOs, provide quality assurance, and interface with corporate buyers on behalf of multiple FPOs simultaneously. For small Odisha FPOs, connecting with an aggregator is often more realistic than direct corporate buyer engagement.
- **Social enterprises and ethical buyers:** Companies with explicit social procurement mandates — social enterprise food brands, B Corps with supply chain commitments, impact investors — are more willing to work with emerging FPOs and accept lower initial volumes. Fair trade certification (FLO) is relevant for NTFP and specialty

agricultural products with export potential.

## Pathway 3: eNAM and digital platforms

### The transparency tool — with important limitations

The electronic National Agricultural Market (eNAM) is India's national digital trading platform for agricultural commodities, linking 1,361 mandis across 23 states. FPOs can list their produce on eNAM, receive real-time price discovery across multiple bidders, and transact digitally.

The NABARD Telangana FPO impact study found that FPOs leveraging eNAM achieved better price realisation, bypassed middlemen, and ensured transparent trade. The mechanism: an eNAM-registered FPO can upload graded, quality-certified produce and receive bids from buyers registered across the platform, rather than accepting a single trader's price.

**The limitations for tribal FPOs in remote Odisha:**

- eNAM works through mandis — physical agricultural market yards. FPOs in remote tribal blocks may be far from the nearest mandi with eNAM infrastructure.
- The platform requires consistent internet connectivity and digital literacy at the FPO operations level.
- Most tribal and smallholder FPOs need to physically transport produce to the mandi, which adds cost that may offset the price improvement.

**Realistic guidance:** eNAM is most useful for FPOs that are already regularly transacting at a regional mandi — it improves transparency and price discovery for FPOs that have already solved the logistics problem. It is not the right first market linkage tool for a remote tribal FPO that has never sold collectively before.

**Government e-Marketplace (GeM):** GeM is the government procurement platform for products and services. It has a dedicated handloom and handicrafts section. FPOs producing artisan products (Pattachitra, Sambalpuri, Dhokra, leaf plates, NTFP-based food products) can register as GeM sellers and receive orders from government institutions without the intermediary layer.

## Pathway 4: Export channels — for premium and certified products

### The highest-return pathway, requiring the most preparation

Export markets pay the highest prices for certified organic products, specialty tribal foods, traditional crafts, and niche agricultural items. Wild honey from tribal forests, organic millet from PVTG communities, traditional artisan products — these have genuine export market potential at prices far above domestic commodity rates.

**What export requires:**

- APEDA (Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority) registration
- GST registration for the FPO
- MSME registration (Udyam)
- Product-specific certifications (organic certification for organic produce; PGS-India certification as a starting point; FSSAI for processed food; Craftmark for artisan products)
- Export documentation competence (shipping documentation, phytosanitary certificates for agricultural products)
- A buyer relationship in the destination market

Building toward export is a two-to-three year trajectory for a new tribal FPO. The pathway: TDCCOL/NAFED in year one → domestic organic buyer in year two → export aggregator or direct export buyer in year three. NGOs that try to take tribal FPOs directly to export markets in year one of operation almost always fail.

**The TRIFED Tribes India channel:** TRIFED's Tribes India retail network and online platform is specifically designed to connect tribal producers (as FPOs or individual artisans) to premium domestic and export markets. It is the lowest-barrier premium market channel for tribal FPOs: TRIFED does the marketing, TRIFED handles export documentation, and the FPO supplies. Pricing is better than local markets; the procurement process is standardised. Contact the TRIFED regional office for enrolment.

---

## Building Buyer Relationships: The Process

**Approach buyers before harvest, not during.** The worst time to approach a new buyer is when you have produce that needs to move immediately. Buyers who are approached under the pressure of imminent supply make worse deals than buyers approached three months before the harvest season with a supply proposal.

**Establish the relationship with a trial supply.** A first delivery should be smaller than the FPO's actual capacity — enough to demonstrate quality and reliability without the risk of a large initial delivery failure damaging the relationship. A successful small trial supply creates the foundation for a larger contract.

**Get the purchase agreement in writing before the first delivery.** Verbal agreements about price, quality standards, and payment terms are insufficient. A one-page written agreement — price per kg or unit, quality specifications, delivery schedule, payment terms — signed by both parties before supply begins protects both sides and is the reference point for any dispute.

**Track payment timelines.** A buyer who consistently pays 15 days late is a working capital problem. Document payment dates against invoice dates for every transaction. If delays are systemic, raise it with the buyer directly: "Our farmers need to be paid within 30 days of delivery — we need your payment cycle to match." Many buyers will adjust when the constraint is clearly articulated.

**Diversify across at least two buyers from year two.** An FPO with a single buyer is exposed: if the buyer pauses procurement, has quality disputes, or goes out of business, the FPO has no market. Two buyers in different channels (one government, one private) provides the minimum resilience from year two onwards.

---

## The NGO's Specific Role in Market Linkage

The market linkage role is one of the most specific and concrete things an NGO can do for an FPO — and one of the most impactful. The NGO's role is not to be the buyer relationship, but to facilitate its creation:

- Attend buyer-seller meets on the FPO's behalf initially; then transition to the FPO CEO attending independently
- Prepare the FPO's supply proposal and product samples for buyer approaches
- Make the introductory call to a potential buyer's procurement manager — NGO introductions carry more weight than cold FPO approaches for first meetings
- Review buyer contracts before the FPO signs them — specifically looking for unfair quality rejection clauses, one-sided termination provisions, and delayed payment terms that the FPO cannot sustain
- Monitor the first three delivery cycles of every new buyer relationship — tracking that delivery happened on time, quality was accepted, and payment arrived within the agreed period

After three successful seasonal cycles with a buyer, the FPO should be managing the relationship independently. The NGO's facilitation role is transitional, not permanent.

---

Related Knowledge Commons content*:* Agriculture & Markets Sector Primer *(*Sector *09)* · Practice Note*:* FPO Building — Building Them to Last · Practice Note*:* FPO Working Capital and Credit · Practice Note*:* Millet Value Chains — The OMM Model

Evidence Grade*:* B — Multi-study*.* This Practice Note draws on the Nature*/*HASS Communications systematic review of FPO challenges *(*June *2025),* MicroSave Agriculture Market Linkages analysis *(*December *2023),* NAARM NABARD-TGRO FPO impact evaluation *(*July *2025),* SAGE FPO value chain empirical study *(*October *2025),* Tata-Cornell Institute FPO dashboard data *(2024),* and Springer FPO sustainability factors study *(*October *2024).* Last reviewed*:* April *2026.*

Questions or corrections*:* knowledge@jabasu.org

---