

# Oral Tradition Documentation — Community-Accessible Archives for Tribal Odisha

The instinct of most organisations approaching oral tradition documentation is to create an institutional archive: a well-curated collection of audio recordings, video footage, and transcripts held in a university library, a tribal research institute, or a national archive. This...

JABASU KNOWLEDGE COMMONS · JABASU.ORG

---

**B** practice-note Culture & Heritage

Published: April 2026 · Last reviewed: April 2026

They do not solve the transmission problem. A Juang elder's cosmological narrative recorded on audio and housed in the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Research and Training Institute (SCSTRTI) archive in Bhubaneswar is not accessible to Juang young people in Keonjhar. The recording's existence preserves the narrative from total extinction, but it does not enable the intergenerational transmission that keeps the tradition alive and evolving. A recording in a distant archive is a memorial, not a living tradition.

The Terralingua guidance on documenting and revitalising oral traditions is clear on this distinction: documentation alone does not revitalise oral traditions. Revitalisation requires the active participation of the community in managing and using the documentation — and it requires that documentation serve the community's own purposes first, not the researcher's or the institution's.

UNESCO's guidance on intangible cultural heritage safeguarding makes the same point from a policy perspective: communities are the custodians of their heritage; documentation serves safeguarding only when communities control the documentation and use it on their own terms.

The implication for Odisha NGOs: documentation without community ownership and community access is not safeguarding. It is collection — which has its own value but is not what this note is about.

---

## The Community-Based Language Documentation Model

The most promising recent model for community-owned oral tradition documentation comes from the ICSSR-funded Dimasa cultural heritage documentation project in Assam (2021–2024) — which provides a directly transferable framework for Odisha's tribal contexts.

The Dimasa project used what researchers call "community-based language documentation" (CBLD): community volunteers from the Dimasa community itself were trained in linguistic annotation, recording techniques, and metadata creation. They documented Bushu (harvest festival) experiences and cultural narratives in Haflong, Dima Hasao district. The resulting archive — called the BDA (Bodish-Dimasa Archive) — was designed as an open-access platform specifically for community use, not as a research repository. It documents oral literature including riddles, proverbs, stories, and songs in Dimasa language with annotation.

The specific features that make the CBLD model community-centred rather than research-centred:

- Community members are trained as documenters, not merely as subjects
- The archive is built and maintained by community participants
- The primary users are community members seeking to learn or re-engage with their traditions
- Access decisions — including what is shared publicly and what is restricted — are made by the community
- The limitation the Dimasa project honestly identified — the constant need to build human resources for managing and preserving the archive — points to the long-term facilitation commitment required

For Odisha's tribal communities, this model is directly applicable, with adaptations for the specific technology constraints of communities without reliable internet access.

---

## **The Ethical Foundation: Protocols Before Documentation Begins**

Documentation of oral traditions without proper community consent and ethical protocols can cause active harm: exposing sacred or restricted knowledge to audiences for whom it was never intended; commercialising cultural content without community benefit; taking knowledge from communities without acknowledgment or reciprocity; and reinforcing the colonial dynamic in which outsiders extract from indigenous communities for outsiders' benefit.

The Protocols for Native American Archival Materials (widely applied beyond North America as an international standard for indigenous documentation ethics) articulate the fundamental principles:

**Community consent must be free, prior, and informed.** The community must understand — in their own language, through appropriate community decision-making processes — what is being documented, who will have access, how it will be stored, and whether it can be shared commercially or publicly. Consent is not a signature on a form. It is an ongoing relationship in

which the community retains the right to withdraw permission at any point.

**Different categories of knowledge require different access protocols.** Not all oral tradition is appropriate for public documentation. Sacred knowledge, gender-restricted knowledge, knowledge associated with specific ritual roles, and knowledge whose transmission is intentionally limited — these categories exist in every tribal culture in Odisha and require separate handling from general cultural content. The community defines these categories; the documenter respects them unconditionally.

**Community members must be credited as knowledge holders.** Documentation that cites only the researcher or organisation, not the specific individual whose knowledge was recorded, violates the basic dignity of the knowledge holder and is inconsistent with international standards.

**Commercial exploitation of documented knowledge requires community benefit-sharing.** If documented traditional knowledge is subsequently used commercially — in tourism, in products, in media — the community whose knowledge it is must receive appropriate benefit. This requires explicit agreement before documentation begins, not after.

**The right to be forgotten exists alongside the right to be remembered.** Communities must be able to request that recordings be destroyed, access be restricted, or content be removed from archives. No documentation is permanent against the community's wishes.

---

## **Practical Methodology: A Step-by-Step Approach**

### **Phase 1: Community engagement and protocol establishment (2–4 months)**

This phase takes longer than most organisations budget for, and shortcuts produce ethical violations that damage relationships and programme outcomes.

**Community consultations:** Meet with gram sabha leadership, elders who hold traditional knowledge, women's groups, and younger community members separately — the different groups have different relationships to oral tradition and different stakes in documentation. Ask: what aspects of our cultural heritage do you most want to preserve? What are you worried about losing? What do you NOT want documented or shared publicly? Who should make decisions about what gets documented?

**Protocol agreement:** Based on the consultations, produce a written protocol agreement — in Odia and in the community's language — that specifies: what categories of knowledge are being documented; who in the community authorises documentation of each category; who will have access to the recordings (community only? researchers? public?); how the materials will be

stored and by whom; what happens to the materials if the NGO's programme ends; and what the community receives in return.

This agreement is not a legal contract in the Western sense — its authority comes from community consensus, not legal enforcement. It should be formally adopted at a gram sabha meeting and recorded in the gram sabha register.

**Documenter identification:** Identify community members — ideally young people who are fluent in both the community language and Odia — who will be trained as community documenters. Two or three per community is a good starting number. They will do the actual recording, with elders and knowledge holders as the subjects.

## **Phase 2: Documenter training (1–2 weeks)**

The training covers:

- Recording equipment operation (smartphone or dedicated recorder — see technology section below)
- Interview ethics — how to ask questions, how to respect the knowledge holder's pace, how to stop when requested
- Metadata documentation — date, location, language, speaker name (with consent), topic, ritual context
- Basic transcription — how to produce a written record of what was recorded, in the community language
- Archive management — how to organise and back up materials

The Oral History Association of India (OHAI) runs training workshops on oral history documentation methodology specifically designed for practitioners working in indigenous contexts. Their 2024 workshop at Rajiv Gandhi University, Arunachal Pradesh, covered interview scheduling, recording equipment, transcription, and digital archiving. This or equivalent training provides the methodological foundation for community documenters.

## **Phase 3: Active documentation (ongoing)**

Documentation happens on the community's schedule, not the programme's. Elder knowledge holders should not be rushed — they tell stories in the time and manner appropriate to the tradition, not in a 20-minute recording window convenient for the programme calendar.

### **Priority documentation categories for Odisha tribal communities:**

Ecological knowledge: Seasonal agricultural calendars, plant and animal identification, weather reading techniques, forest management practices — knowledge that is both culturally significant and practically relevant to climate adaptation. This knowledge often exists only in the memories of elders who practised rain-fed agriculture and forest management for their entire lives. Its loss

has direct practical consequences as well as cultural ones.

**Cosmological narratives:** Creation stories, community origin narratives, and stories that embed the community's understanding of their relationship with the natural world. These are typically the most restricted category — what can be shared publicly is decided by community protocol, not by the documenter.

**Ritual and ceremonial knowledge:** Songs, prayers, procedural knowledge associated with agricultural festivals, life-cycle rituals, and healing ceremonies. Much of this is orally transmitted within specific ritual roles. Documenters should record only what the relevant knowledge holders consent to, and access protocols should reflect the restricted nature of much of this material.

**Songs and music:** Among the most portable and accessible oral tradition categories — songs associated with specific occasions, seasons, and activities are often known across age groups and can be recorded with broader community participation.

**Language documentation:** For languages with very few speakers, basic vocabulary, grammatical structures, and everyday speech patterns should be prioritised alongside cultural content. The death of a language means the death of the knowledge system encoded within it.

## **Phase 4: The community archive (technology and access)**

**The offline-first principle:** Most PVTG communities and many other tribal communities in Odisha's interior do not have reliable internet access. A cloud-based archive that requires internet to access is not accessible to the communities it is meant to serve.

The technology solution that works in low-connectivity settings: a local server — either a dedicated device (a Raspberry Pi-based local server, costing under ₹5,000, can store thousands of hours of audio and video) or a set of USB drives maintained by community documenters — holds the community's archive. Materials are backed up periodically to a secondary location (the NGO's office, a partner institution) for disaster recovery. The Mukurtu CMS, referenced in the Dimasa documentation project, is a free, open-source content management system designed specifically for indigenous cultural archives — it allows communities to set granular access permissions (who can see what) and is designed to function in low-bandwidth environments.

**Connecting to national and institutional archives:** The SCSTRTI in Bhubaneswar maintains archives on Odisha's tribal cultures. The Sangeet Natak Akademi maintains national ICH archives. The community archive should have copies at these institutions for long-term backup and institutional visibility — but the community controls access, not the institution.

---

## **What Moves the Needle: Presence, Not Projects**

The most honest thing to say about oral tradition documentation in tribal Odisha is that it cannot

be done as a project. A six-month documentation initiative produces audio recordings. It does not produce community ownership of cultural preservation. It does not enable intergenerational transmission. It does not build the community capacity to continue documentation and use of their own materials after the project ends.

What produces those outcomes is an organisation that is present in a community for years — that has the trust to be told about sacred knowledge, not just observable cultural practice; that has the time to train documenters who then train others; that supports the community in deciding what to share and what to protect; and that helps the community build the institutional infrastructure (a community library, a cultural centre, a youth cultural group) through which documentation becomes use.

This is slow work. It does not produce deliverable outputs in six months. It produces living traditions — which is the only outcome worth producing.

---

Related Knowledge Commons content: Culture & Heritage Sector Primer (Sector 05) · Social Justice & Tribal Welfare Sector Primer (Sector 03) — PVTG cultural rights · Practice Note: Artisan Market Development — Provenance, Certification, and Premium Access

Evidence Grade: B — Multi-study and practice-based. This Practice Note draws on the ICSSR Dimasa CBLD project (Sampratryaya Journal, August 2024), the Terralingua Biocultural Diversity Toolkit on documenting oral traditions, the Protocols for Native American Archival Materials (international standard), the UNESCO ICH safeguarding guidance, the OHAI 2024 workshop documentation, and the MDPI Humanities oral narrative study (July 2025). Last reviewed: April 2026.

Questions or corrections: [knowledge@jabasu.org](mailto:knowledge@jabasu.org)